

NiCOLAS GRÉGOiRE

NULLCON 2017

**NEARLY GENERIC
FUZZING OF
XML-BASED FORMATS**

NiCOLAS.GREGOIRE@AGARRI.FR

@AGARRI_FR

ME?

- Nicolas Grégoire
- Working in InfoSec for the last 15 years
- Owner and Pwner at AGARRI
 - Web hacking
 - Published about XXE and SSRF in bug bounties
 - Teaching
 - Trainings (Burp Suite Pro) and talks
 - Fuzzing
 - Mostly client-side nowadays

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

ABUSE OF FEATURES

- Talk "Offensive XSLT" (2011)
 - No memory corruption, simply abuse the features
 - Read and create files, execute arbitrary code
 - Highly reliable exploits
- Positive side effect
 - Produced a large corpus covering most features
 - Combine nodes, attributes and namespaces
 - `<sx:output file="/tmp/pwned">31337</sx:output>`

BASIC MUTATION-BASED FUZZING

- Talk "Dumb-fuzzing XSLT engines" (2013)
 - Reuse XSLT corpus from 2011
 - Mutation done by Radamsa
 - Basic wrappers
 - Linux: ASan + bash + grep
 - Windows: Python + WinAppDbg
 - Limited depth, found some bugs anyway
- Take-away
 - Producing XML for fuzzing purposes is hard!

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

REUSING CODE FRAGMENTS

- Aimed at fuzzing of interpreters
 - Tested on JavaScript, PHP and Ruby
- Christian Holler @mozdeco (2012)
 - Paper: *"Fuzzing with Code Fragments"*
 - Tool: LangFuzz (shared only w/ Mozilla and Google)
- Sean Heelan @seanhn
 - Talk: *"Ghosts of Christmas Past"* (2014)
 - Tools: Malamute (on Github), FragFuzz (non public)

PRODUCTION OF TESTCASES

- QuickFuzz Project (Gustavo Grieco and al.)
 - Chain different production steps
 - Mix generation and mutation
- High-level production
 - Grammar-based generation
 - Haskell's QuickCheck and Hackage
- Low-level production
 - Dumb mutation
 - Off-the-shelf tools like zzuf or radamsa

GUIDED FUZZING

- American fuzzy lop
 - By Michael Zalewski @lcamtuf, since 2013
 - Easy to use but hard to master
- Disadvantages
 - Doesn't run on Windows
 - Mutation engine aimed at binary formats
- Advantages
 - Impressive track record
 - Large and active community
 - Forks (WinAFL), patches (external mutators), helpers (afl-cmin.py)

PYTHON MUTATORS FOR AFL

- External Python mutation routines
 - Patch by Christian Holler @decoder (2016)
- Add a *Python* stage calling an external module
- The module implements a custom mutator
 - `init()` called once
 - Do costly tasks
 - `fuzz()` called for each mutation
 - As fast as possible...

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

GOALS

- Hierarchical mutations
 - Structure (high-level), reuse known fragments
 - Dialect (medium-level), optional
 - Characters (low-level)
- Every XML dialect is supported
 - First step: XSLT and SVG
 - Final target: everything based on XML (SMIL, RSS, TT, ...)
- Coverage-guided path exploration
 - First step: Open Source applications under Linux
 - Final target: support for cross-platform + closed-source

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

XML FRAGMENTS

```
<a b="c"> <d e="f"/> <g h="i"> <j/> </g> </a>
```

Name	Value	Depth
a	<d e="f"/><g h="i"><j/></g>	0
d	<d e="f"/>	1
g	<g h="i"><j/></g>	1
j	<j/>	2

Name	Value	Node	Depth
b	c	a	0
e	f	d	1
h	i	g	1

MUTATION STRATEGY

- High-level mutators
 - Perfect understanding of XML
 - Fully generic
 - Except for fragments (which are specific to a XML dialect)
- Medium-level mutators
 - Optional (and specific to a XML dialect)
- Low-level mutators
 - Work with bytes / characters
 - Fully generic
 - Done by off-the-shelf tools

HIGH-LEVEL MUTATORS

- First of all, a compliant XML processor
 - Full support of
 - Namespaces
 - Document types aka DTD
 - Provides parsing, manipulation and serialization
 - Wisely select the XML library (lxml vs ElementTree)
- No knowledge of XML dialects
 - Only interact with nodes and attributes
 - But use (optional) dialect-specific fragments

HIGH-LEVEL MUTATORS

- Three families of actions
 - *Add*, *Replace* and *Remove*
 - Each family covers trees and attributes
- *Replace* try to use similar fragments
 - How to define “similarity”?
 - Attribute: attribute name, node name, type of value, ...
 - Tree: top-node name, depth, ...
- *Remove* doesn't need fragments
 - And can be used alone as a XML minimizer

MEDIUM-LEVEL MUTATORS

- Optional dialect-specific mutations
- May increase coverage significantly
- For XSLT
 - Switch "Forwards-Compatible Processing" mode
 - Ignores unknown and misplaced nodes/attributes
 - Fix references to variables, parameters and keys
 - Helps to find UAF and double-free
- For SVG
 - Currently none, finds bugs nonetheless

LOW-LEVEL MUTATORS

- No knowledge of XML or its dialects
 - Byte-level mutations by off-the-shelf tools
- May break valid XML documents
 - Acceptable trade-off if we fuzz fast enough
- Outside of AFL
 - Explicit calls to Radamsa / Surku / zzuf / ...
- When using AFL
 - “trim”, “splice” and “havoc” stages

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

FRAGMENTS DATABASE

- Based on SQLite
 - Super fast
 - Easy to manage
 - One database file per XML dialect
- Write to the DB only when adding fragments
 - No need for optimization
- But read access is on the critical path
 - Must be as efficient as possible
 - Fast medium (SSD or RAM), optimized queries

OPTIMIZATION OF QUERIES

- Task: select a random row from a table
- Naive approach
 - SELECT id, name, value FROM table
 - ORDER BY random() LIMIT 1
- Efficient approach
 - SELECT id, name, value FROM table
 - WHERE rowid = (abs(random()) % (SELECT (SELECT max(rowid) FROM attribute)+1))
- Speed gain ~ 200x

XMLMUTATOR

- Python module exposing a few functionalities
- Adding fragments
 - Parse a sample and add its fragments to the database
- Creating a mutator
 - Takes optional parameters (seed, name of dialect)
- Producing mutations
 - Initialize mutator from a string or file
 - Reset mutator to its initial state
 - Modify state of mutator (*Mutate* or *Reduce*)
 - Serialize to a string or file

XMLMUTATOR

- Mutation API
 - Mutate
 - Execute some high-level mutations (*Add*, *Replace* or *Remove*)
 - Then some medium-level mutations (if available)
 - Reduce
 - Only execute some high-level *Remove* mutations
- Possible work-flows
 - Initialize / Mutate / Mutate / Mutate / Serialize
 - One file (depth=3)
 - Initialize / Mutate / Serialize / Reset / Mutate / Serialize
 - Two files (both with depth=1)
- Useless without additional code calling the API

WRAPPER: CHXML

- Main front-end
- Features
 - Reduce to a file
 - Mutate to a file or directory
 - Extract fragments and add them to the database
- Used by other tools
 - As an external mutator for Honggfuzz / Malamute
 - As a crash minimizer

WRAPPER: AFL BRIDGE

- Bridge between AFL and XmlMutator
- init() may take seconds
 - Generate a list of backup samples
 - Copy fragments database to memory
 - Create a long-lived mutator
- fuzz() need to be fast (thousands of calls / second)
 - Convert bytes received from AFL to a string
 - Initialize mutator from string
 - If unsuccessful (invalid XML), initialize mutator from samples
 - Mutate a few times
 - Serialize to bytes and send back to AFL

FUZZING SETUP

- For each fuzzed target, two sets of binaries
 - Path exploration
 - Use AFL+LLVM deferred or persistent modes as much as possible
 - Crash collection
 - Early and verbose crash detection with ASan
- Slow or closed-source applications aren't fuzzed
 - But generated corpus is reused against them
 - For closed-source, exploitability heuristics are useful
- Crash collection and bucketization
 - CrashManager by Mozilla Security

HARNESSES

- xsltproc (libxslt)
 - Use AFL deferred mode / speed x 2
 - Strategically placed call to `__AFL_INIT`
- xpcshell (Firefox)
 - Use AFL persistent mode / speed x 100
 - JavaScript function `afloop()` exposes `__AFL_LOOP`
 - Thanks @mozdeco for the patch!
- Inkscape
 - Designed to loop through input files
 - Switching to `__AFL_LOOP` was trivial

NUMBERS

- XSLT
 - Four targets (libxslt, sablotron, transformiix, xalan-c)
 - Two Xeon E5-2630v3 CPU (32 threads)
 - One billion execs per day
 - 360 execs per second per thread
- SVG
 - One target (Inkscape)
 - Half a Core i7-6700 CPU (4 threads)
 - Nine million execs per day
 - 25 execs per second per thread

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

FINDINGS

- Section removed in this version of the slides
 - You should have come to Goa ;-)
- Next public edition
 - Allstars 2017, during OWASP AppSec EU

ME VS XSLT
INSPIRATIONAL WORK
PROJECT GOALS
DESIGN
IMPLEMENTATION
FINDINGS
FUTURE WORK

MOAR!

- More time
 - Triaging and reporting is time-consuming
- More targets
 - Path exploration + reuse of generated corpus
- More dialects
 - Convert corpus to fragments
 - Write medium-level mutators (if needed)
- More guided fuzzers
 - LibFuzzer, covFuzz, Honggfuzz, Talos IntelPT, ...

CONCLUSION

- Project is very young
- A few goals already reached
 - Guided fuzzing of Open Source Linux applications
 - High-level XML mutator
 - Medium-level XSLT mutator
 - XML-aware minimizer
 - Very complete XLST fragments database
 - More than 10 vulnerabilities found
- Expect more bugs!!

NiCOLAS GRÉGOiRE

NULLCON 2017

**NEARLY GENERIC
FUZZING OF
XML-BASED FORMATS**

THANKS FOR READING!

NiCOLAS.GREGOIRE@AGARRI.FR

@AGARRI_FR